

Date: Fri, 28 Jan 94 04:30:26 PST
From: Ham-Equip Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-equip@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Equip-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Equip Digest V94 #14
To: Ham-Equip

Ham-Equip Digest Fri, 28 Jan 94 Volume 94 : Issue 14

Today's Topics:

 need yaesu phone number
Dual-Band (VHF/UHF) mobile recommendation
 htx-202 or dj-162 ?
 Info on ICOM IC-740 (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Equip@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Equip-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Equip Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-equip".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 26 Jan 94 07:46:42 CST
From: ucsnews!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!
ukma!netnews.wku.edu!bcobb@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: **need** yaesu phone number
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

need the toll free number(s) for yaesu parts in cincinnati ohio.. any
one have it? thanks bob ka4c11

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 1994 16:51:13 GMT
From: boulder!beagle!eesnyder@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Dual-Band (VHF/UHF) mobile recommendation
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I am currently in the market for a Dual-Band (VHF/UHF) mobile
rig for a vehicle. I would like >=50 watts on VHF as well as
cross band repeat capability and the ability to change said

frequencies remotely. Any recommendations? I am partial to Yaesu (love my FT-530!) but will consider anything that foots the bill.

Also, are there any other features that are worth thinking about? From what I can tell, all the major manufacturers seem to offer very similar products. Does any one radio stand out as being significantly more "feature laden"?

Eric E. Snyder
Department of MCD Biology ...making feet for childrens' shoes.
University of Colorado, Boulder
Boulder, Colorado 80309-0347

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 1994 12:12:25 -0500
From: sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!csn!hellgate.utah.edu!cc.usu.edu!NewsWatcher!
user@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: htx-202 or dj-162 ?
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

I have pretty much narrowed down my choice to these two 2m ht's.

I'd like to get comments and opinions from people in the net who have actually used both.

currently, I am leaning towards the dj-162 because of its wide receive.

please email responses if possible.
thanks in advance.

jerry

Date: 26 Jan 1994 16:30:42 GMT
From: news.cstar.andersen.com!news.acns.nwu.edu!casbah.acns.nwu.edu!
rdewan@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Info on ICOM IC-740
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

In article <CK8rru.4xv@wri.com>, Bruce Pea <pea@wri.com> wrote:
>Can anyone tell me about the ICOM IC-740? One was given to
>me a few weeks ago. I've been looking at some old issues of
>73 Mag, QST, and Amateur Radio and I don't see it advertised

>in any of the ads. Must not be looking far enough back...

Sold for a brief period in 1982-1983. It was reviewed in QST 9/83.

>

>Anyway, whats the low down on this rig? Is it a good one, did

>people have problems with it, how do you like yours???

>

It is a very nice radio. It has a good receiver with MDS of -141dbm and DR of 125db on the 20m band. It is a very compact rig. I think that it is a better buy at \$450 than some of the low end hf rigs on the market now. Many options such as 270Hz filter, keyer etc (other than 500Hz filter and internal ps) are still available from dealers.

I owned one for a few months. I upgraded to a Kenwood TS850s. I know of few hams who still use one and like it.

Rajiv
aa9ch
r-dewan@nwu.edu

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 1994 17:05:31 GMT
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!
darwin.sura.net!gatekeeper.es.dupont.com!esds01.es.dupont.com!
GRIB%esvx17.es.dupont.com@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Info on ICOM IC-740
To: ham-equip@ucsd.edu

Bruce,

The Icom 740 was one of the last "ham bands only" rigs that Icom offered during the mid 70's. It was the predicesor to the IC-745 (which was the same basic rig but with a general coverage receiver installed). The rig I have is loaded with all the options, and I like it a lot, especially the filtering and the PBT/IF shift. The rig I have also has the internal power supply installed which makes it one neat package. I've had a lot of the other rigs on the market on and off, and think that it's a good one, the only thing(s) that it doesn't have available are AM operation, and memories, but overall, I think it's a real good rig for general operating, DX'ing and contesting. One other thing you should know is that the SWR protection circuit does roll back the power pretty quickly if the SWR gets much above 1:5/1, so you may want to keep a tuner in line with the rig.

Good Luck,

Joe

End of Ham-Equip Digest V94 #14
